| Defendant's Soc. Sec #_ | APRIL 11, 2006 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Defendant's Date of Birth 9/22/76 | pate of Imposition of Sentence | |
| Defendant's Mailing Address: | ADLYNE R. ROSS, U.S.D.J. | |
| 100-47 223 nd STREET | APRIL 11, 2006 | |
| QUEENS, NEW YORK 11429 | Date | |
| Defendant's Residence Address: | A TRUE COPY ATTEST Date: | |
| (SAME AS ABOVE) | ROBERT C. HEINEMANN CLERK OF COURT | |
| | Ву: | |
| | DEPUTY CLERK | |

Defendant: CLEVELAND GREEN
Case Number: CR-03-1368 (ARR)

Judgment - Page

of

IMPRISONMENT

The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of forty one (41) months. Both counts are to run concurrently.

| <u>xxx</u> | The Court makes the following recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons: THAT THE DEFT PARTICIPATE IN THE 500 HOUR PROGRAM AND WHILE INCARCERATED HE ALSO ADHERE TO ANY SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT PROGRAM AND INSOFAR AS CONSISTENT WITH THE PROGRAM THAT HE BE HOUSED IN A FACILITY IN THE METROPOLITAN AREA. The defendant is remanded to the custody of the United States Marshal. The defendant shall surrender to the United States Marshal for this district, ata.m./p.m. on as notified by the Marshal. |
|------------|--|
| <u>xxx</u> | The defendant shall surrender for service of sentence at the institution designated by the Bureau of Prisons |
| | before 12:00 noon on 5/23/06 as notified by the United States Marshal. as notified by the Probation Office. RETURN |
| | I have executed this Judgment as follows: |
| | |
| Defe | ndant delivered on to at, with a certified copy of this Judgment. |
| | United States Marshal |

Defendant: CLEVELAND GREEN
Case Number: CR-03-1368 (ARR)

Judgment - Page

of

SUPERVISED RELEASE

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be on supervised release for a term of five (5) years.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall not commit another Federal, state, or local crime and shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this court (set forth on the following page). If this judgment imposes a restitution obligation, it shall be a condition of supervised release that the defendant pay any such restitution that remains unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release. The defendant shall comply with the following additional conditions:

- 1) DEFT SHALL NOT POSSESS ANY FIREARMS.
- 2) DEFT SHALL PARTICIPATE IN SUBSTANCE ABUSE TREATMENT WITH A TREATMENT PROVIDER SELECTED BY THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. TREATMENT MAY INCLUDE OUTPATIENT OR RESIDENTIAL TREATMENT AS DETERMINED BY THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT. DEFT SHALL ABSTAIN FROM ALL ILLEGAL SUBSTANCES AND/OR ALCOHOL. DEFT SHALL CONTRIBUTE TO THE COST OF SERVICES RENDERED VIA CO-PAYMENT OR FULL PAYMENT IN AN AMOUNT TO BE DETERMINED BY THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT, BASED UPON THE DEFT'S ABILITY TO PAY AND/OR THE AVAILABILITY OF THIRD PARTY PAYMENT.
- The defendant shall pay any fines that remain unpaid at the commencement of the term of supervised release.

Defendant: CLEVELAND GREEN
Case Number: CR-03-1368 (ARR)

Judgment - Page of

STANDARD CONDITIONS OF SUPERVISION

While the defendant is on probation or supervised release pursuant to this Judgment:

- 1) The defendant shall not commit another Federal, state or local crime;
- 2) the defendant shall not leave the judicial district without the permission of the court or probation officer;
- 3) the defendant shall report to the probation officer as directed by the court or probation officer and shall submit a truthful and complete written report within the first five days of each month;
- 4) the defendant shall answer truthfully all inquiries by the probation officer and follow the instructions of the probation officer;
- 5) the defendant shall support his or her dependents and meet other family responsibilities;
- 6) the defendant shall work regularly at a lawful occupation unless excused by the probation officer for schooling, training, or other acceptable reasons;
- 7) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of any change in residence or employment;
- 8) the defendant shall refrain from excessive use of alcohol and shall not purchase, possess, use, distribute, or administer any narcotic or other controlled substance, or any paraphernalia related to such substances, except as prescribed by a physician;
- 9) the defendant shall not frequent places where controlled substances are illegally sold, used, distributed, or administered;
- 10) the defendant shall not associate with any persons engaged in criminal activity, and shall not associate with any person convicted of a felony unless granted permission to do so by the probation officer;
- 11) the defendant shall permit a probation officer to visit him or her at any time at home or elsewhere and shall permit confiscation of any contraband observed in plain view by the probation officer;
- 12) the defendant shall notify the probation officer within seventy-two hours of being arrested or questioned by a law enforcement officer;
- 13) the defendant shall not enter into any agreement to act as an informer or a special agent of a law enforcement agency without the permission of the court;
- 14) as directed by the probation officer, the defendant shall notify third parties of risks that may be occasioned by the defendant's criminal record or personal history or characteristics, and shall permit the probation officer to make such notifications and to confirm the defendant's compliance with such notification requirement.

These conditions are in addition to any other conditions imposed by this Judgment.

of

| Defendant:CLEVELAND GREEN Case Number:CR-03-1368(ARR) | Judgment - Page o |
|---|--------------------------|
| FINE WITH SPECIAL ASSESSMENT | |
| The defendant shall pay to the United States the sum of $\$$ of a fine of $\$$ N/A and a special assessment of $\$$ 200 | \$_200.00, consisting |
| These amounts are the totals of the fines and assessmen counts, as follows: | ts imposed on individual |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| This sum shall be paid immediately as follows: | |
| | |
| XXX The Court has determined that the defendant does not have pay any fines, cost of confinement or supervision. | ve the ability to |
| The interest requirement is waived The interest requirement is modified as f | Follows: |

THE COURT: Mr. Green, is there anything you would like to say?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: There is a role reduction so we know that Mr. Green's base offense level given the role deduction and given the safety valve is 30 and that he does have the safety valve and he has three points off for acceptance of responsibility.

I wasn't entirely clear but I am now clear that counsel is urging that under the advisory guidelines Mr. Green is entitled to four levels for minimal role rather than the two levels that is advocated by the government.

I'm a little troubled so I'm going to -- I feel comfortable saying that he is not entitled to any more than a three level deduction. I don't feel comfortable with a four level deduction. It is a novel argument that you just made.

MR. RUBIN: I'm just repeating what I've been told but with some degree of credibility as it's laid out.

THE COURT: I'm going to give him the benefit of the doubt but I don't think it merits a minimal role deduction. I think between a minor and minimal is the most I can give him. Although Mr. Green is very peripherally involved in the Universal scheme, his primary participation involved his role in Lall's cocaine importation scheme in which cooperating witness Mark Sandy played a role similar to that of Adams or

perhaps Weatherly in Brown's Universal scheme.

After Hall who had been recruited by Sandy on behalf of Lall to retrieve drug-laden containers ceased participation in the conspiracy, Sandy recruited defendant Cleveland Green to play the role that Lall had previously performed, that is, having received information from Lall regarding the flight number, day and time of the flight, serial number of the drug-laden container and gate to which it was to be delivered, Sandy passed this information onto the defendant who located and delivered the container containing the drugs.

Sandy testified that Green participated in the shipments in this way on three occasions and was each time to be compensated \$10,000. The third shipment was seized, however, leading Green to express to Sandy his dismay that the shipment was quote "heavy and light," meaning a large shipment of cocaine and not marijuana as Lall had led everyone to believe.

After some time passed, Lall and Sandy discussed resuming the shipments. The defendant expressed his agreement to participate but only if he were paid at least \$80,000 per shipment, now knowing that the shipments were of cocaine and were therefore far more risky.

There is no evidence that any further shipments were sent but Green, Lall and Sandy had continuing negotiations concerning how the narcotics would be stored and how much

Green would be paid.

I think defense counsel is correct that defendant's participation in the Universal scheme involving Brown, Adams and Weatherly is peripheral at best and could well be assessed as minimal, if not less than minimal. At most, Green functioned at a lookout to watch Weatherly's back on three occasions and was paid between \$500 and \$1,500 for his services. Indeed, Barnett testified that defendant and other members of Weatherly's crew refused to assist Weatherly in the September 20th, 2003 shipment. But because defendant's primary involvement was in the Lall and Sandy scheme involving offense conduct over a period of time, and because defendant's role in that scheme was no less than between minor and minimal, I believe that a three level adjustment would be appropriate.

So in assessing an appropriate sentence in this case, I have considered the guidelines which I have calculated at a level 22, criminal history category one, carrying a range of imprisonment of 41 to 51 months.

In considering the nature and circumstances of the offenses, I have in the case of Mr. Green considered as an exacerbating factor the fact that defendant made use of his job position at JFK Airport in committing the crimes of which he was convicted.

Although I have found that the government failed to

present evidence sufficient to find by a preponderance that law enforcement authorities in fact reposed trust in the airport employees, a finding essential to impose the abuse of trust enhancement under the guidelines, there is ample evidence in the record to establish that defendant took advantage of his job in committing these offenses, a job that though not established to be a repository of trust by law enforcement, is nonetheless a highly sensitive one due to the enhanced societal dangers posed by corruption at a major international port or airport such as John F. Kennedy International Airport.

On the whole, defendant's crimes were serious ones, exacerbated somewhat by the fact that in committing them, he took advantage of his sensitive position at a major airport but mitigated somewhat by his role and the fact that his offenses involved no weapons or violence of any kind.

Turning to the history and characteristics of the defendant, Mr. Green is a 28 year old citizen with no prior brushes with the law. Defendant's fiance and their two year old daughter live with the fiance's mother and the defendant has provided weekly finance assistance to them for food and clothing.

Given all the facts and circumstances pertaining to the defendant and his offenses, I believe that a sentence of 41 months imprisonment, which falls at the bottom of

defendant's advisory sentencing guideline, is sufficient but not unduly severe to accomplish the goals of sentencing enumerated in Section 3553(a). The crimes defendant committed are serious ones and a prison term of three and a half years is a severe one thus serving the goal of just punishment.

Further in my estimation, the facts and circumstances of this case point to a low risk of recidivism, suggesting that the selected sentence amply serves the statutory goals of specific deterrence and the goal of protecting the public against future acts of the defendant.

Finally, I view the selected sentence, which is a lengthy one, as sufficient to serve the goal of general deterrence.

In this regard, I believe that it is of a sufficient severity to serve as a deterrent to other airport employees who might otherwise succumb to the temptation to corrupt their sensitive positions for pecuniary or other gain.

On the two counts, I therefore sentence Mr. Green to the custody of the Attorney General for a period of 41 months. I also impose a forfeiture of \$19,151?

MR. D'ALESSANDRO: Actually, you are your Honor, there was no plea agreement. That money has been seized administratively by the agency.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. D'ALESSANDRO: It's been --

ALLAN R. SHERMAN, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter United States District Court Eastern District of New York

4

1559

| 1 | THE COURT: It's been done administratively? |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. D'ALESSANDRO: Yes. |
| 3 | THE COURT: That is fine. |
| 4 | I impose a five year period of supervised release |
| 5 | with special condition that Mr. Green participate in any |
| 6 | substance abuse treatment with a provider selected by the |
| 7 | probation department. It may include outpatient or |
| 8 | residential treatment as determined by the probation |
| 9 | department and that you abstain from all illegal substances |
| 10 | and alcohol and contribute to the cost of services rendered by |
| 11 | co-payment or full payment in an amount to be determined by |
| 12 | the probation department based on his ability to pay and/or |
| 13 | the availability of third-party payment, and I prohibit |
| 14 | possession of a firearm. |
| 15 | I make a finding that he is unable to pay a fine but |
| 16 | I will impose the mandatory 200-dollar special assessment. |
| 17 | And I will make a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that |
| 18 | he receive substance abuse treatment in prison and be |
| 19 | admitted, if possible, to the five hundred hour. |
| 20 | Anything open? |
| 21 | MR. D'ALESSANDRO: No, your Honor, he pled to the |
| 22 | initial indictment. He just pled to the indictment, no plea |
| 23 | agreement with the government. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Mr. Green, there are circumstances in |
| 24 | THE COURT: Mr. Green, there are circumstances in |

ALLAN R. SHERMAN, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter United States District Court Eastern District of New York

which a defendant may appeal the sentence. You discuss that

25

ALLAN R. SHERMAN, CSR, RPR Official Court Reporter United States District Court Eastern District of New York

24

25